UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,227	07/30/2003	Thomas M. DiMauro	3518.1015-000	8291
	7590 12/02/2010 BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.		EXAMINER	
530 VIRGINIA ROAD			SHAFER, SHULAMITH H	
P.O. BOX 9133 CONCORD, MA 01742-9133		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1647	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/02/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/630,227	DIMAURO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	SHULAMITH H. SHAFER	1647
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Jac</u> 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of th	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13,15-43,45-48,50-79,84-88 and 93 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-13,15-33,35,52,66 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,34,36-43,45-48,50,51,53-58,60-6	- <u>79 and 84-88</u> is/are withdrawn fr	om consideration.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	n □ · · · · ·	(070,440)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4)	ate

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' amendment of 6 January 2010 is acknowledged. Claims 1, 46, 50, 91 and 92 have been amended and the amendment made of record. Claims 49, 80-83, 89 and 90 have been canceled. Claims 93-96 are newly submitted and entered into the record. In light of newly submitted claims, further election/restriction is required.

Election/Restrictions Species Election #1

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species growth factors:

- 1. BMP-1,
- 2. BMP-3,
- 3. BMP-2,
- 4. OP-I
- 5. BMP-2A,
- 6. BMP-2B,
- 7. BMP-7
- 8. TGF-β

The species are independent or distinct because each growth factor has unique structural and functional characteristics. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, or a single grouping of patentably indistinct species, for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claim 1 is generic.

There is a search and/or examination burden for the patentably distinct species as set forth above because at least the following reason(s) apply:

The searches would not be coextensive. A search for BMP-1, for example, would not turn up relevant literature on TGF-β.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,227 Page 3

Art Unit: 1647

Species Election #2

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: antibodies directed against TNF- α :

- a. adalimumab
- b. CDP-571
- c. CDP-870

The species are independent or distinct because each antibody is a protein comprising a unique sequence and unique CDRs. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, or a single grouping of patentably indistinct species, for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claim 1 is generic.

There is a search and/or examination burden for the patentably distinct species as set forth above because at least the following reason(s) apply:

The searches would not be coextensive. A search for adalimumuab, which is a fully human monoclonal antibody, for example, would not turn up relevant literature on CDP571, which is a humanized monoclonal IgG4 antibody comprising grafted CDRs from rodent antibody onto a human antibody framework.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a species or a grouping of patentably indistinct species to be examined even though the requirement <u>may</u> be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species or grouping of patentably indistinct species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

Art Unit: 1647

specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species or grouping of patentably indistinct species.

Page 4

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species, or groupings of patentably indistinct species from which election is required, are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing them to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHULAMITH H. SHAFER whose telephone number is (571)272-3332. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Nickol, Ph.D. can be reached on 571-272-0835. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/630,227 Page 5

Art Unit: 1647

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Shulamith H. Shafer/ Examiner, Art Unit 1647